

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/648,271	ESTAKHRI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Denise Tran	2185

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Denise Tran.

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas Haverstock (Reg. No. 32,571).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

24-28, 55, 56, and 59

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

page 7, including claims 24-28 of the amendment after final filed 7/31/06 was missing; Also, Mr. Haverstock agreed to amend claims 55, 56, and 59 as stated in the examiner amendment and to submit the missing page 7.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Denise Tran

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)